

212

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24826 of 2016

Date of decision: August 11, 2016

Surjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. K.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

Mr. Mandeep Singh Sodhi, Advocate
for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.65 dated 12.03.2016, under Sections 419, 420, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Kotwali Faridkot, the allegations are that the petitioner is a woman aged about 70 years and has impersonated her daughter for registration of the plot for a consideration of ₹1,31,000/- in the year 2010. The FIR was lodged on 12.03.2016 on the ground that the daughter was impersonated.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed before me a compromise arrived between the parties, i.e. mother and daughter as well as the son. The son is stated to have gone to the foreign Country. He is also an accused in the FIR.

The document of compromise and receipt placed before me is

strongly contested and denied by the counsel for the complainant and therefore, I do not say anything about the same. Be that as it may, looking to the nature of offence and the relationship between the parties and the old age of the petitioner, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. In the event of arrest, petitioner shall be released on anticipatory bail in FIR in question, on her furnishing bail bonds amounting to ₹10,000/- with one surety of like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

August 11, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No