

132

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M No.23603 of 2016 in/and
C.R.M-M No.24783 of 2016
Date of Decision : 04.08.2016**

Vineet Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. P.K. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Ms. Promila Nain, Advocate
for the respondents No.2 to 4.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

CRM-23603-2016

For the reasons recorded, the application is allowed subject
to all just exceptions. Documents (Annexures R/1 to R/7) are taken on
record.

CRM-M-24783-2016

On 23.07.2016 the following order was passed:-

“This petition has been filed for transferring the anticipatory bail application filed by the respondents No.2 to 4 from the Court of Sessions Judge, Panipat to outside the District of Panipat on the ground that in the said application number of advocates appeared in Court and did not permit the counsel for the petitioner-complainant even to speak one word. The allegation thus is that the Court is being overridden.

Before proceeding further I deem it appropriate to seek the comments of the Judge in regard to this allegation. Let him furnish his comments before the next date.

To come up on 04.08.2016.

The registry is directed to send a copy of the order alongwith the paper book forthwith to the concerned officer.

A copy of this order be given to the learned counsel under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.”

The report of the Sessions Judge, Panipat has been received wherein he has stated that there was no occasion for him to be under any kind of pressure from the accused persons. In view of the reply of the Ld. Judge, I feel that the apprehension of the petitioner is not justified and the same is misplaced and there is no reason that such a senior officer would be overawed only because some particular advocates were

appearing. As a part of this system I have no hesitation in holding that the petitioner and the accused would get a fair treatment from the Judge.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

A copy of this order be given to the learned counsel for the parties on payment of usual charges.

August 04, 2016
ashish

(**AJAY TEWARI**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No