

**210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24778 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 16.08.2016

Raman Lal

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. P.S.Kanwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Harjeet Savra, Advocate
for the complainant.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

On 23.07.2016 the following order was passed :-

“Present is a petition for anticipatory bail in case FIR No.68 dated 02.06.2016, registered under Sections 420/406 IPC, at P.S. Sadar Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur where the allegations are that the petitioner took an amount of Rs.2,50,000/- from the complainant for sending him Canada but neither the petitioner sent him Canada nor he returned his money.

Learned counsel states that the petitioner was actually the franchisee of another company and the process of the complainant could not be concluded because an FIR was

lodged against the persons running that company. However, apart from the merits of the case the petitioner is ready to refund the said amount to the complainant.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to supply a copy of the petition to the learned Addl.A.G. during the course of the day.

Adjourned to 16.08.2016. The arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed till 01.08.2016.

Let the petitioner appear before the investigating officer on 01.08.2016 with a draft of Rs.2,50,000/- in favour of the complainant. In case he does so the investigating officer shall release him on interim bail subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the complainant states that even on the last date, after giving an undertaking no money was paid to the complainant rather he gave a cheque of Rs.1.00 lac which is also dishonoured.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he is not in a position to deny these facts.

In the circumstances, it has to be held that the petitioner obtained relief from this Court on making a wrong statement.

Resultantly, the petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

16.08.2016

pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No