

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 23789 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02. 08.2016

Sumit Garg

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. D.S. Malwai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. A.K. Bishnoi, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in case FIR No.465, dated 19.06.2015, registered under Section 406 IPC, at Police Station Sirsa City, District Sirsa.

It is contended that father of the petitioner had business dealings with the complainant and the petitioner is ready and willing to settle the accounts.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits that though the petitioner has joined investigation but the recovery is yet to be effected.

The learned counsel for the complainant submits that petitioner never approached the complainant to settle the account.

Heard.

As per the allegations, the petitioner has misappropriated Rs. 20 lacs allegedly received from the complainant towards friendly loan. Repeatedly the date is being sought on account of amicable settlement but the petitioner has failed to come out with any proposal. Keeping in view the conduct of the petitioner, no case for bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

02.08.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable: Yes No