

**CRM-M-24708-2016 and
CRM-M-27681-2016**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 31.08.2016

1. CRM-M-24708-2016

Rupender

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-27681-2016

Sunil Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Pawan Hooda, Advocate for
Mr. K.D.S.Hooda, Advocate for
the petitioner in CRM-M-24708-2016.

Mr. S.S.Duhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-27681-2016.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-24708-2016, filed by
petitioner-Rupender and CRM-M-27681-2016, filed by petitioner-Sunil

**CRM-M-24708-2016 and
CRM-M-27681-2016**

Kumar, under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.153 dated 13.06.2016, registered at Police Station Uchana, District Jind, under Sections 365, 323 and 506 IPC, Section 25 of the Arms Act and Section 325 IPC (added later on).

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that injuries are mainly simple one. With regard to offence under Section 365 IPC, it is the case of prosecution that the complainant was taken on a canal towards village Channa in a Balero and thereafter he was brought to the same place and left there.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 23.07.2016 passed in CRM-M-24708-2016 and dated 11.08.2016 passed in CRM-M-27681-2016, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are now not required for custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody, I find merit in these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 23.07.2016 passed in

**CRM-M-24708-2016 and
CRM-M-27681-2016**

CRM-M-24708-2016 and dated 11.08.2016 passed in CRM-M-27681-2016, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

31.08.2016
parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No