

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24641 of 2016.

Decided on:-22.07.2016.

Yaseen.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Mohd. Arshad, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of a direction to the official respondent No.3 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Mewat-Nuh for registration of an FIR against the private respondent Sharif Khan and further for proper and fair investigation of the case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that since the local police is hand in glove with the real culprits, the petitioner has been praying for investigation of the case from higher police authorities and to register an FIR against the private respondent. In case the FIR is not registered against the private respondent, it will abuse the process of law and a great injustice will be caused to the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Sakiri Vasu Versus State of U.P. and others (2008) 2 SCC 409*** has held as under:

“We have elaborated on the above matter because we often find that when someone has a grievance that his FIR has not been registered at the police station and/or a proper investigation is not being done by the police, he rushes to the High Court to file a writ petition or a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. We are of the opinion that the High Court should not encourage this practice and should ordinarily refuse to interfere in such matters, and relegate the petitioner to his alternating remedy, firstly under Section 154(3) and Section 36 Cr.P.C. before the concerned police officers, and if that is of no avail, by approaching the concerned Magistrate under Section 156(3).

If a person has a grievance that his FIR has not been registered by the police station his first remedy is to approach the Superintendent of Police under Section 154(3) Cr.P.C. or other police officer referred to in Section 36 Cr.P.C. If despite approaching the Superintendent of Police or the officer referred to in Section 36 his grievance still persists, then he can approach a Magistrate under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. instead of rushing to the High Court by way of a writ petition or a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Moreover he has a further remedy of filing a criminal complaint under Section 200 Cr.P.C. Why then should writ petitions or Section 482 petitions be entertained when there are so many alternative remedies?”

In view of the aforesaid observations made by Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Sakiri Vasu's case (supra)***, it is apparent that no such direction can

be issued by this Court under Section 482 Cr.PC as the efficacious remedy is already available to the petitioner for the relief claimed in this petition.

Therefore, this Court does not find any merit in the present petition and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

July 22, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE