

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -24584 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.09.2016

Harpal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. B.S. Guliani, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.
assisted by HC Gurdeep Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.124 dated 27.04.2010, registered under Sections 448, 511, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Sarabha Nagar, Ludhiana.

On 22.07.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Contends that the petitioner is only an attesting witness to the transaction. He is not beneficiary in any manner. On the same set of facts, FIR No.196 dated 16.12.2008 was registered wherein the petitioner was admitted to bail, vide order dated 31.01.2009. The similarly situated co-accused, Jagdish Singh has already been

admitted to bail by this Court, vide order dated 05.07.2016.

Notice of motion for 29.09.2016.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1.That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2.That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

3.That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 22.07.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 22.07.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

29.09.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No