

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24577 of 2016
DECIDED ON: July 22, 2016

MANOHAR SINGH AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS..

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Vinod K. Kaushal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking directions to respondent No.2 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners and for bringing the case to its logical conclusion after takingn legal action against the accused-respondents No. 5 to 7.

2. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4 only, at this stage.
3. On the asking of Court, Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of respondents-State. Copy of the petition has been supplied to learned State counsel.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though complaint/representation dated 15.06.2016 (Annexure P-3) was moved to

respondent No.2 but till date no action has been taken.

5. The instant petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, to inquire into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their complaint/representation dated 15.06.2016 (Annexure P-3) and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

July 22, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE