

CRM NO. M-24519 OF 2016

[1]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.112

CRM NO. M-24519 OF 2016
DECIDED ON: July 21, 2016

MUNISH KUMAR

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. S.N. Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 to 4 to protect their lives and liberty at the hands of respondents Nos. 5 to 8 as they feel apprehension of danger at the hands of respondents Nos. 5 to 8 and their relatives, by providing them security.

At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, (Punjab) to

CRM NO. M-24519 OF 2016

[2]

decide his representation dated July 10, 2016 (Annexure P-1) within a stipulated period.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of Court, Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

The instant petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, (Punjab) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated July 10, 2016 (Annexure P-1) and if some substance is found to take an appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

July 21, 2016
Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE