

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24506-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 21.07.2016

Komal Kangotra and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Petitioners in person.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and there is no legal impediment to their marriage. They have solemnized marriage. Their marriage is opposed by respondent Nos.4 and 5, who are the parents of petitioner No.1.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2 that in case, the petitioners move an application before him for protection of their life and liberty, he will look into the matter and provide necessary protection to the petitioners, if he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is

ATUL SETHI
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

threatened at the hands of respondent Nos.4 and 5, in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

21.07.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE