

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24493-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 24.10.2016

Hardeep Singh @ Deepu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate,
for Mr. Anterpreet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Prit Pal Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, in FIR No.91 dated 20.08.2014, registered under Section 22 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, at P.S. PAU, Distt. Ludhiana.

Contentends that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the instant case. In fact, the petitioner was arrested on 20.08.2014, in another case bearing FIR No.89 dated 19.08.2014, registered under Sections 399 and 402 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at P.S. PAU, Ludhiana. Nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned State counsel states that the recovery effected in the instant case is commercial in nature. The petitioner is involved in three more FIRs.

Heard.

Keeping in view the fact that the contraband allegedly recovered in the instant case falls under the category of 'commercial quantity', no case for grant of bail is made out.

However, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 20.08.2014, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously.

24.10.2016

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No