

CRM-M-24483-2016
CRM-M-24682-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(127)

CRM-M-24483-2016
Date of Decision:-05.08.2016

Satinder Kumar @ Satinder Singh @ Rubi and others
.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and another
.....Respondents

CRM-M-24682-2016

2. Mohan Singh and others
.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and another
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-24483-2016 and
for complainant in CRM-M-24682-2016.

Mr. T.P.S. Bhatti, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-24682-2016.

Mr. Salesh Gupta, Addl.A.G. Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):-

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Counsel for the parties appear for them and make a
joint statement that the compromise in respect of DDR No.28
dated 24.10.2015 under Section 452, 323, 427, 506, 148 and
149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and FIR No.144, dated
24.10.2015 under Sections 336, 427, 506, 148, and 149 of
Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 27, 54 and 59 of Arms

CRM-M-24483-2016
CRM-M-24682-2016

Act and SC/ST Act, 1989 3/5, registered at Police Station Payal, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana have been arrived at between the parties. Not only that, statements of the parties have been recorded by Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Payal.

Seen the report from the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Payal alongwith the statements suffered by the parties. It is stated that the compromise was effected without any pressure or influence. In that view of the matter, there is no difficulty in accepting compounding/compromise between the parties resultantly DDR No.28 dated 24.10.2015 and FIR No.144, dated 24.10.2015 registered at Police Station Payal, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana are quashed by way of compromise.

Disposed of.

August 5, 2016
Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No