

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No. 24463 of 2016 (O&M)

**Decided on:-21.07.2016**

Ramanjeet Kaur and another .....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others .....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.**

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Dhankar, Advocate for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)**

**CRM No.21798 of 2016**

Application is allowed as prayed for.

**CRM-M-No. 24463 of 2016**

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent No. 4.

During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners has filed affidavit to the effect that petitioner No.1 is working as Executive whereas petitioner No.2-Tanuj is working as a supervisor at G.C. Associates, Sector-37, Chandigarh and are earning handsomely. The affidavit is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law. However, respondent No.4 is not accepting their marriage and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means.

Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, they had moved a representation dated 18.07.2016 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2 i.e. the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali.

Notice of motion to official respondents No.1 to 3 at this stage.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned counsel for the State during the course of the day.

Without going into the validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate remedial measures, as warranted by law, on the representation dated 18.07.2016 (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioners.

In the meantime, necessary order be passed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent No.4.

**July 21, 2016**  
Anjal

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**