

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24444-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.08.2016

Kawaljit Singh @ Kanwaljit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. H.S. Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by SI Reshamjit Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in cross-version case registered vide Rapat Roznamcha No.20 dated 24.05.2015, registered under Sections 307, 506 and 148 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code, and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, in case FIR No.69 dated 24.05.2015, registered under Sections 307, 506 and 148 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code, and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, at P.S. Raja Sansi, Distt. Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is a case of version and cross version. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation. The co-accused have been granted the concession of bail of bail by order of even date.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions, opposes the present petition and submits that recovery of the weapon of offence is yet to be effected from the petitioner.

Heard.

It is found that the instant petition for grant of anticipatory bail merits dismissal. The allegations against the accused-petitioner are that he was armed with a .12 bore rifle and fired shot which hit on the person of injured Kuldip Singh. There is no reason to disbelieve the prosecution version, at this stage. This Court feels that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required in this case. The weapon of offence is yet to be recovered.

In view of the above, no case for grant of bail is made out.

Dismissed.

19.08.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No