

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

**Criminal Misc. No.M-23513 of 2015
Date of decision: April 29, 2016**

Gurbakshish Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Jasjeet Singh Virk, Advocate for
Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mrs. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. N.S. Chahal, Advocate for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during pendency of the present petition, the dispute between the parties has been settled before Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court vide settlement agreement dated 08.01.2016 wherein the terms and conditions were mentioned in detail. Learned counsel has also handed over a demand draft amounting to ₹ 5 lacs to learned counsel appearing for the complainant and the same has further been handed over to the complainant, who is present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel.

Both the parties have undertaken to abide by all the terms and conditions of the compromise arrived at between them.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, interim order dated 22.07.2015 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to file petition for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise.

April 29, 2016

sonia gugnani

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**