

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-24405 of 2016

Date of decision : 27.07.2016

Jagraj Singh @ Babu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Bhullar, Assistant Advocate General Punjab.

Mr. Vaneet Garg, Advocate for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.17 dated 15.04.2015, registered under Sections 376,(D), 366, 363, 120-B IPC & Section 4 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, Police Station Balianwali, District Bathinda.

Counsel for the petitioner contends Simarjit Singh had been allowed bail and the girl is in the Nari Niketan and no allegations of rape had been levelled against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since April 2015. The prosecutrix has been examined who has not supported the prosecution version. The complainant side has appeared and it is stated that the FSL report had been received by the police in November 2015 but in the bail application filed by Simarjit Singh it had been stated that the FSL report was awaited.

No allegations of rape had been levelled against the petitioner. The prosecutrix has already made a statement in the court. Allegations against the petitioner was that they had assisted the main accused in enticing her away.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and considering the fact that the trial will take time to conclude, the petition

is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate with the rider that the petitioner would not contact the prosecutrix or her family.

27.07.2016
kv

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No