

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-23451-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 22.03.2016**

Sushil Verma

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ashima Mor, APP, UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. Sanjay Yangari, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.218 dated 11.06.2015, registered under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC, Police Station Sector-39, Chandigarh.

Affidavit of the petitioner has been placed on file. He states that the second FIR has been cancelled. He has also placed the copy of the final report.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is not in possession of the house and he has no objection if the possession is taken by the complainant though the petitioner had contributed in the sale consideration. He also states that car was purchased by the petitioner and installments were paid by him and he has all the receipts and the receipt bears his signatures. Counsel for the petitioner further states that car has been disposed of and was given to a relative of his.

Counsel for the complainant could not produce any receipt to show that loan amount was paid by them.

Efforts for reconciliation have failed. Petitioner has already joined the investigation. Custodial interrogation is not required. Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 21.07.2015 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

22.03.2016
Sunil