

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-24374 of 2016

Date of decision : 27.07.2016

Mangat Ram @ Mangat Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. R.S. Duggal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, Assistant Advocate General Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.60 dated 08.03.2016, registered under Sections 323, 313, 506, 34 IPC at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is mentioned as the father-in-law but is not the father-in-law and the complainant was not married to Govind and Govind had married Neha and a complaint was lodged and Neha, brother-in-law and the petitioner had been named.

Counsel states that Raj Rani had made a complaint Annexure P1 and had mentioned that Govind had not married the complainant and Neha's father was not happy with the marriage between Govind and Neha.

Counsel for the State states that petitioner is in custody since 17.03.2016.

There are no specific allegations against the petitioner.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and considering the fact that the trial will take time to conclude, the petition

is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate with the rider that the petitioner would not contact the prosecutrix or her family.

27.07.2016

kv

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No