

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R.M-M No. 24364 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 20.07.2016

Manisha and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Petitioners in person.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance of respondent Nos.5 to 9.

The petitioners have got married against the wishes of their parents. They are stated to be major. In support of her proof of age petitioner No.1 has placed on record a copy of Secondary Examination Certificate (Annexure P-1) wherein her date of birth is mentioned as 08.02.1998 and petitioner No.2 has also placed on record a copy of Secondary Examination Certificate (Annexure P-2) wherein his date of birth is mentioned as 11.09.1996. Both the petitioners have also moved a representation before the Superintendent of Police, Kaithal (Annexure P-4)

for protection of their life and liberty.

The parents of the girl requested the Court that they want to have a talk with their daughter i.e petitioner No.1. They were allowed to meet her in my chamber. The girl flatly refused to accompany them and stated that she would go along with her husband i.e. petitioner No.2.

Be that as it may once it is accepted that they are adults the limited prayer made by them cannot be denied. The boy has stated that he has grave apprehensions from the respondents No.5 to 9.

In the circumstances they are directed to be taken to Protection Home, UT, Chandigarh for such time not exceeding one week as they may feel is required to give them a sense of security.

Without adverting to the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, Superintendent of Police, Kaithal to take appropriate action on the representation (Annexure P-4) moved by the petitioners.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

20.07.2016
Pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE