

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-23401 of 2015

Date of Decision: 04.03.2016

Madhu Nanchahal and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Virk, D.A.G., Haryana
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

A written compromise deed signed by both the parties has been filed and the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the parties submit that a petition for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise is to be filed by both the parties as well as a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act with mutual consent.

Accordingly, in view of the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties and also the fact that both the parties have undertaken to abide by all terms and conditions as mentioned in the compromise deed

that a joint petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act will be filed and a petition for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise will also be filed, the interim order passed by this Court on 23.07.2015 is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, in case, there is any violation of terms and conditions of the compromise, the complainant is at liberty to move an application for revival of this order.

04.03.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE