

223

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-24326 of 2016****Date of decision: July 26, 2016**

Rakesh @ Poli

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**Present:** Mr. Rahul Rathore, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG Haryana.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**********A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)**

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties in FIR No.257 dated 24.05.2016, under Sections 323, 306, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Gharaunda, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is entitled for grant of bail as there is no prima-facie case against him.

Learned State counsel fairly states that except the arrest of the co-accused, nothing is further required to be done and the filing of the charge-sheet could be made soon.

Having regard to the fact that the investigation has almost been completed and the detention of the petitioner in Jail would serve no fruitful purpose, I think the petitioner should be allowed the benefit of bail.

In view of the above, petition is allowed. Petitioner be released on bail subject to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE****July 26, 2016**
mahavir