

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(118)

CRM-M-24309-2016

Decided on: September 21, 2016.

Jagdeep Singh alias Deep

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Vipul Jindal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has inter alia argued that on account of absence, error, omission and irregularity of sanction under Section 19 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, the trial Court has taken cognizance for offence under the said Act against the petitioner.

Perusal of the order, passed by the trial Court Annexure P-6 dismissing application of the petitioner for discharge, does not indicate that any such plea of illegality, irregularities, absence or omission of sanction had been raised.

The petition is not maintainable at this stage.

In view of said circumstances, this petition is disposed of without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to raise any legal plea before the trial Court regarding cognizance having been wrongly taken by framing charges.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

September 21, 2016

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No