

**CRM-M-24270 of 2016 (O&M)**

**[1]**

\*\*\*\*\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 115

**CRM-M-24270 of 2016 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: July 20, 2016**

Neetu and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh & others

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH**

**Present:** Petitioners in person.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASPAL SINGH, J**

**CRM No. 21576 of 2016**

Application is allowed as prayed for.

CRM stands disposed of.

**CRM-M-24270 of 2016**

By virtue of the instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their lives and liberty at the hands of respondent No. 4 as they feel apprehension of danger at the hands of respondent No. 4 and their relatives, by providing them security.

2. As per the case unfolded by the petitioners, they are major in age and have solemnized marriage on July 17, 2016. In support thereof, they have placed on record photographs Annexure P-3.

**CRM-M-24270 of 2016 (O&M)**

**[2]**

\*\*\*\*\*

3. Without expressing any opinion on the validity of the marriage and keeping in view the mandate of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh to look into the grievances narrated in their representation dated July 17, 2016 (Annexure P-4) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

4. This order shall not be construed to be conferring the legitimacy or authenticity to the factum of marriage having been performed as well as their age, as the Court is clearly deprived of any means to determine the aforesaid facts.

**July 20, 2016**

*Ankur*

**(JASPAL SINGH)  
JUDGE**