

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**FAO-3121-1999 (O&M)
Date of decision : 21.12.2016**

Smt. Daya Mittal

..... Appellant

VERSUS

Raj Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Satpal Damija, Advocate
for respondent-Insurance Company.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

On 16.08.2016, learned counsel for the appellant had submitted that he had traced the brief of this appeal on which, as per his noting, the appeal itself was allowed in the year 2001.

Learned counsel for the respondent-insurance company sought time to confirm the aforesaid fact and the matter was adjourned to 16.11.2016.

On 16.11.2016, learned counsel for respondent-insurance company sought more time to verify whether this appeal, the record of which was burnt in the fire incident in the year 2011, had actually been decided in the year 2001 or not, and on his request, the matter was again adjourned till today, i.e. 21.12.2016.

Learned counsel for the insurance company submits that the matter was actually settled before the Lok Adalat on 26.07.2001 and that payment has already been made to the appellant, in terms of the settlement.

He has supplied a photocopy of the application filed by the insurance

RAMANDEEP SINGH
2017.01.09 11:58
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

company before the learned Tribunal, in this regard, which is taken on record as Annexure A-1, no other record being available.

In view of the above, as there is no paper-book available with either counsel, this appeal is ordered to be confined to the record, it already having been decided in the year 2001, as per statement of leaned counsel for respondent-insurance company.

A copy of this order be sent to the learned counsel for the appellant.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

December 21, 2016
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No