

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-24223 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 04.08.2016**

Ravindjot Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. H.S. Gill, Sr. Advocate
Mr. R.K. Dhiman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Bhullar, AAG, Punjab.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

CRM No.22220 of 2016

For the reasons set out in the application, same is allowed as prayed for and hearing of the main petition is preponed for today itself.

Crl. Misc. No.M-24223 of 2016

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for permission to go abroad for three months.

The case had been registered against the petitioner under Sections 406, 420 IPC. Later Section 376 IPC had been added. The petitioner has approached High Court directly seeking permission to go abroad without first approaching the first Court.

Counsel for the petitioner states that since there were directions by the High Court to surrender the passport, therefore, they had approached this Court.

The petition is disposed of with direction to the petitioner to approach the trial Court for permission to go abroad. It is for the trial Court to consider as to whether permission is to be granted. The trial Court can make order even with respect to passport. Merely because an order for surrender of the passport had been made by this Court, would not stand in the way.

04.08.2016

Sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No