

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

254

CRM-M-24205 of 2016

Date of Decision:29.08.2016

Satnam Singh & others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE RITU BAHRI.

**Present: Mr.Gourav Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

**Mr.D.R.Singla, DAG, Haryana,
for the respondent-State.**

RITU BAHRI, J.(Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.7 dated 07.01.2014, for the offence under Sections 498-A, 406, 506, 323 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa, (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 05.02.2016 (Annexure P-2).

The FIR was registered on the basis of statement made by Manpreet Kaur to the effect that her marriage was performed with Mr.Satnam Singh, petitioner No.1. It was alleged by the complainant that since the beginning of the marriage, the behaviour of the petitioners and their family members was rude towards her. They were not satisfied with the dowry articles brought by her at the time of marriage. Besides, they used to

ill-treat and taunt her for bringing inadequate dowry. They were maltreating,

torturing and beating the complainant with a view to compel her to bring more dowry from her parents as they were not satisfied with the quality and quantity of the dowry and article given by her parents. The in-laws of the complainant had made demand time and again and they threw her out of house. On this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of the trial, the matter has been resolved between the petitioners and respondent No.2-Manpreet Kaur, vide compromise dated 05.02.2016 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance with the order dated 20.07.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Ellenabad, has been received in this regard. As per report, Manpreet Kaur-complainant and accused-petitioners appeared before the trial Court for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In this regard statements of complainant-Manpreet Kaur and accused-petitioners were recorded to the effect that they have compromised the matter with complainant without any pressure and undue influence and she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed against these accused. In view of separate statements of the parties, the Court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.7 dated 07.01.2014, for the offence under

Sections 498-A, 406, 506, 323 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa, (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners is quashed.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

August 29, 2016
seema

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No