

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-242-2016

Date of decision : 07.01.2016

Neha Narula

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioner has assailed the order dated 03.06.2015, passed by the SDJM, Abohar and the order dated 28.10.2015, passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Fazilka upholding the order passed by the Magistrate.

The petitioner had got a FIR registered against the in-laws, her sister-in-law and a friend of the husband. The police had filed the challan against the husband, mother-in-law and the father-in-law under Sections 323, 324, 342, 506, 406, 498-A IPC. Charge was framed and the trial had commenced. The statement of the complainant had been recorded. Thereafter, an application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. was moved which was dismissed by the Magistrate. The order was upheld by the Additional Sessions Judge.

Heard. The counsel has referred to the allegations levelled in the FIR and it has been urged that a supplementary statement was given by the petitioner wherein the sister-in-law and the close friend of the husband namely Avinash were also named & both the Courts below had gone wrong in rejecting the application.

I have gone through the record and I am of the view that there is no merit in the petition. The petitioner in order to widen the net had named the sister-in-law and a friend of the husband. No overt act was attributed to them. There was no strong or cogent evidence to summon the additional accused. Vague allegations had been levelled against them. There is no illegality in the order.

The petition is dismissed in limine.

07.01.2016

Sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE