

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24078-2016

Geja Masih and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

2.

CRM-M-24087-2016

Sulinder Masih and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

Date of decision: July 21, 2016.

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Dinesh Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioners
in CRM-M-24078-2016 and for the complainant
in CRM-M-24087-2016.

Shri Rimple Saini, Advocate for the petitioners
in CRM-M-24087-2016 and for the complainant
in CRM-M-24078-2016.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Notice of motion to the respondents. Learned Counsel for the

rival parties accept notice on behalf of the their respective parties in both

these petitions.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Counsel for the complainant in both the respective cases, i.e., FIR No.27 dated 30.4.2014, under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Ghuman Kalan, District Gurdaspur and Rapat No.12 dated 1.5.2014 under Sections 323, 324, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Ghuman Kalan, District Gurdaspur, jointly state that the parties to the dispute have resolved their dispute and have consequently filed compromise petitions in this Court in both these cases.

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties. Perused the compromise deed so also the offences which were registered. In view of the compromise arrived at between the parties, both these petitions are allowed and the FIR as well as the Rapat in question are quashed together with all consequential proceedings.

July 21, 2016.
kadyan

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge