

CRM-M No. 23994 of 2016(O&M)

SUNITA NAGPAL
2016.07.29 13:49
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

**272 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 23994 of 2016(O&M)
Date of Decision : 27.07.2016**

Gurpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab.

- i. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- ii. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- iii. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

At the very outset learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that Section 325 IPC has inadvertently not been mentioned in the heading, para No.1 and in the prayer clause of the petition as well and seeks permission to add the same. Learned DAG, on instructions from SI Sulakhan Singh, has accepted this fact. Learned counsel is permitted to make the correction where ever necessary in the petition.

This is a petition for the grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 70 dated 11.06.2016, under Sections

323/324/325/341/295/506/148/149 IPC and under Section 25/27/54/59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Lopoke, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that neither the offence under Section 295 IPC nor the offence under the Arms Act is attributed to the petitioner and the offences attributed to the petitioner are all bailable.

In these circumstances I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Let the petitioner appear before the investigating officer on 11.08.2016 between 10.00 a.m. to 4.00 p.m. and on any other date on which his appearance is so required by the investigating officer. On his appearance the investigating officer shall release him on anticipatory bail to his satisfaction subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the Criminal Misc.Application, if any also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

July 27, 2016
sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No