

220

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-23117 of 2014 (O/M)

Date of decision : 20.5.2016

Subhash Chand and another Petitioners

Versus

Shakti Ram Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. P.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Animesh Goyal, Advocate, for,
Mr. D.K. Singal, Advocate, for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed for quashing Criminal Complaint No. 7 of 17.1.2012, titled as Shakti Ram Versus Subhash Chand and another, pending before the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sunam (Annexure-P-1) and summoning order dated 28.1.2014 (Annexure-P-2), vide which the petitioners have been summoned under Sections 467, 468, 471 read with Section 120-B IPC.

I am of the view that if the summoning order is set aside by the revisional Court, the complaint automatically stands dismissed, which is as good as quashed.

CRM No. M-23117 of 2014 (O/M)

The petitioner is relegated to avail the remedy of revision before the Sessions Court first. If such a revision is filed before the Sessions Court within 30 days from the date of passing of this order, it shall entertain the revision and decide the same in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

20.5.2016
sjks