

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**  
**AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-23947 of 2016**  
Date of Decision: 22.07.2016

Raju

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. J.S. Hooda, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.  
\*\*\*\*\*

**HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)**

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.314 dated 06.02.2016 under Sections 135, 138, 151 of the Indian Electricity (Supply) Act, 2003 registered at Irrigation and Power Police Station, Faridabad, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegation against the petitioner is of theft of energy (electricity), which is based on inspection report prepared by the Inspection Committee. The petitioner is

in custody since 10.3.2016. Learned trial Court had declined regular bail to the petitioner on the ground that he was arrested from the spot and recovery of one small transformer and certain equipments, which were used for tempering the meter, were effected from him. He further submits that though there are other cases pending against the petitioner, but in all those cases, the petitioner has already been admitted on regular bail.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Varinder Singh, does not dispute the fact that the petitioner has been admitted on regular bail in all other connected cases registered against him. He further submits that in the case in hand, challan has been presented and the trial will take sufficiently long time for its conclusion.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 10.3.2016 and the prosecution evidence has not yet started and thus, the trial will take sufficiently long time, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to furnishing of his bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Faridabad.

It is made clear that expression made hereinabove shall not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the observations so made have been confined only for the adjudication of the present petition.

**July 22, 2016**  
**AK**

**( HARI PAL VERMA )**  
**JUDGE**