

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-22960 OF 2015
DECIDED ON : 28.09.2016**

Raghu Raj and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present : Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. N. S. Dadwal, Advocate for
Mr. H. S. Bedi, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

Quashing of FIR No.60 dated 17.02.2014 under
Section 420/467/468/471/120-B IPC registered at Police Station
Civil Lines, Amritsar City (Annexure P-1), is being sought on the
basis of compromise deed (Annexure P-3).

The marriage of respondent No.2-Shaweta Khanna
was solemnized with petitioner No.1-Raghu Raj on 23.09.2007 at
Amritsar as per Hindu rights. Out of the said wedlock, one
daughter namely Anushka was born on 01.11.2008. The

allegations made in the FIR are that she has faced harassment at the hands of family members of the petitioners. After presentation of challan, the matter has been compromised between the parties. A petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act for dissolution of marriage by way of mutual consent was also filed and a decree of divorce by way of mutual consent has been granted.

In compliance of the order dated 22.07.2015, report of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, has been received in this regard. As per the report, statement of the complainant/respondent No.2 Shaweta Khanna and petitioner Raghuraj Khanna have been recorded. Complainant has stated that the matter has been compromised between the parties with the intervention of respectable. A decree of divorce by way of mutual consent has already been granted and she does not want to proceed with the case further. The compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure, threat or undue influence.

Consequently, in view of the status report and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of **"Dr.Arvind Barsaul etc v. State of Madhya Pradesh and another" 2008 (2) RCR (Criminal) 910**, no useful purpose would be served by prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, the FIR No. 60 dated 17.02.2014 under Section 420/467/468/471/120-B IPC registered at Police Station

Civil Lines, Amritsar City (Annexure P-1), is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, qua the petitioners only.

The petition stands disposed of.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 28, 2016

shalini

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | YES/NO |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | YES/NO |