

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-23865-OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 31st AUGUST, 2016

Joginder

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanu Shree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sanjeev Gupta, Advocate for the complainant.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

There is direct evidence against the petitioner that he had
fired a shot from the revolver.

In view of the above, the petition for anticipatory bail is
dismissed.

31st AUGUST, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.