

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-2376 of 2016
Date of decision: 24.10.2016**

Parmod Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Samir Rathaur, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ashima Mor, Advocate,
for U.T., Chandigarh.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 65 dated 11.02.2014, under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station, Sector 39, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise dated 26.10.2015 (Annexure P-2).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Mukta-respondent No.2 to the effect that her marriage was solemnized with Parmod Kumar-petitioner No.1 on 18.02.2012 at Aalishan Palace, Phase-1, Mohali. No child was born out of this wedlock. Soon after the marriage, her in-laws (petitioners) started harassing and humiliating her on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry. She was also given beatings by them. Ultimately, she was thrown out of the matrimonial house. In this

During the pendency of the trial, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has now been amicably resolved between the parties vide compromise deed dated 26.10.2015 (Annexure P-2). As per compromise, petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have decided to dissolve their marriage by filing a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act with mutual consent. It has been further decided that the petitioners would pay Rs.13,00,000/- to respondent No.1 as permanent alimony for her past, present and future maintenance.

In compliance with the order dated 10.08.2016 passed by this Court, parties have got recorded their statements before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate. Report from the Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Chandigarh, has been received in this regard. As per report, Mukta-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 23.09.2016 to the effect that she has compromised the matter with accused-petitioners (Parmod Kumar, Jagdish Parshad and Santosh) out of her own free will and without any coercion or pressure. She has no objection if, the aforesaid FIR is quashed. She has further stated that her marriage has been dissolved vide decree of divorce dated 29.04.2016 (Ex.PA) passed by the District Judge, Chandigarh. Separate statement of Parmod Kumar-petitioner was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements given by the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of

that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 65 dated 11.02.2014, under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station, Sector 39, Chandigarh, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

24.10.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No