

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(220)

CRM-M-23697-2016

Decided on: October 18, 2016.

Hardeep Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

On the instructions of HC Jagsir Singh, it has been informed by the State counsel that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been involved in the case on the basis of circumstantial evidence and that there is no material available on the record to connect the petitioner with the crime.

Without expression of any opinion on merits of the case, I am of the considered opinion that the trial being at penultimate stage, in the interest of judicial propriety, the evidence produced should not be appreciated to determine the culpability, lest it should prejudice the right of the prosecution agency or the petitioner.

This petition is disposed of with a direction that the trial Court shall finally decide the case within a period of one month after the receipt of

copy of the order. In case, the information supplied through the State counsel is incorrect, it will be open to the petitioner to seek revival of the present bail application or to file fresh application for bail.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

October 18, 2016
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No