

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-22755 of 2015
Date of Decision: 08.02.2016**

Ritesh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Naina Maadan

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.Rajesh Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate,
for the respondent.

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the Judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the charge for the offence punishable under Section 182, Cr.P.C., has been framed against the respondent and, as such, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the respondent has not controverted the above submission.

Ordered accordingly.

**(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE**

08.02.2016

Bhumika