

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-23640 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 22nd JULY, 2016

Manpreet Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. K. B. S. Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate for the complainant.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.58 dated 14.09.2015 registered under Sections 307, 324, 323, 341, 506, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Nandgarh, District Bathinda.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

The petitioner was arrested on 21.02.2016 and is in jail since then. The challan has been filed.

Learned counsel for the complainant opposed the bail petition on the ground that the petitioner indulged in teasing girls as a result of which dispute started and finally the occurrence took place. Learned counsel for the complainant further submits that the girls would

be teased by him if he is set at large. He, therefore, prayed for dismissal of the regular bail of the petitioner.

Considering the plea for bail, I find undoubtedly the offences of the nature spoken up by learned counsel for the complainant are on the rise and obviously require special care for protection of girls by all the prosecuting agencies so also the senior citizens. But then the challan has been filed and trial will take its own time.

It would be of no use to detain the petitioner in jail indefinitely. At the same time the interest of girls and victims should also be safeguarded. Therefore, I have asked the learned counsel for the petitioner as to whether the petitioner would be ready to stay at a place other than the place in question and would not apply for modification of the condition if he is asked to stay outside the District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has taken instructions from his client and he makes the statement that except for the date of trial the petitioner would not enter District Bathinda and will stay at village Bhullar Wala, District Sri Muktsar Sahib and would report the concerned police station every last Sunday of the month from 11.00am to 2.00pm. The petitioner would not ask for modification of the condition not to enter District Bathinda. Hence the following order:

ORDER

- (i) The petition is allowed.
- (ii) The petitioner be released on bail to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court.
- (iii) The petitioner shall not enter the limits of the District Bathinda.

- (iv) The petitioner shall not apply for modification of the above condition to stay out of District Bathinda till the trial is over as per the statement made.
- (v) In case of violation of the above condition the liberty is reserved to apply for cancellation of bail.

22nd JULY, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE