

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 23605 of 2016
Date of decision : August 22, 2016

Sunita,

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ramesh Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG Haryana

Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate
for the complainant.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR
No.409, dated 20.12.2014, registered under Section 406 of the IPC, at
Police Station Meham, District Rohtak.

Allegation is that the petitioner, her husband and two sons
syphoned off joint family money and purchased about 262 kanals of land

in Meham in their names.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner has been in custody for about 3½ months, her husband who is the main accused is in custody and it is a Magisterial trial.

Learned DAG Haryana, as well as counsel for the complainant state that since it is a serious offence where family members have duped other family members of their money, instead of releasing the petitioner on bail, it would be appropriate to put a time cap on the trial. Learned DAG Haryana has further stated that the prosecution will lead its entire evidence on or before 23.12.2016 subject to the accused not obstructing the same.

Keeping in view the statement of counsel for the respondent, I dismiss this petition at this stage but direct the trial Court to release the petitioner on bail in case the prosecution fails to conclude the evidence on or before 23.12.2016 subject to the accused not obstructing the same.

August 22, 2016
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No