

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-23572-2016

Date of decision: July 15, 2016.

Deen Dayal Mittal

... **Petitioner**

v.

Central Bureau of Investigation

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Sudhir Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner. By the impugned order, the trial Judge has exercised judicial discretion not to entertain the request of the accused-petitioner to call upon a police officer as a court witness, particularly because the prosecution has already closed its case and thereafter the case stood posted for further progress. The petitioner went on moving applications under the RTI Act which could well be done in the same trial and thus he is delaying the proceedings. The petition is therefore dismissed reserving a liberty in favour of the petitioner to take all steps, as may be available in law.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

July 15, 2016.
kadyan