

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-23542 of 2016
Date of decision: 20.09.2016**

Sandeep Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Ghulam Nabi Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sunil Garg, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 50 dated 17.12.2012, under Sections 406, 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station, Women, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise dated 03.05.2016 (Annexure P-2).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Simranjit Kaur @ Rajinder Kaur-respondent No.2 to the effect that her marriage was solemnized on 12.11.2010 with Sandeep Singh-petitioner No.1 and out of this wedlock, one daughter was born. Soon after the marriage, her in-laws i.e. petitioners started harassing and humiliating the complainant on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry. She was also given beatings by them. At present, she is residing with her parents and her *istridhan* is still lying in her matrimonial house. In this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of trial, the matter has been compromised

between the parties vide compromise (Annexure P-2). As per this compromise, petitioner No.1 and complainant-respondent No.2 have decided to dissolve their marriage. They have filed a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act.

In compliance with the order dated 14.07.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patiala, has been received in this regard. As per report, Simranjeet Kaur @ Rajinder Kaur-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 30.07.2016 to the effect that she has compromised the matter with accused-petitioners at her own will and without any coercion or pressure. She has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. Statement of Sandeep Singh-petitioner No.1 was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements given by the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 50 dated 17.12.2012, under Sections 406, 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station, Women, District Patiala, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

20.09.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE