

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-23519 of 2016
Date of decision : 22.07.2016**

Pawan Rathi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.505 dated 23.10.2010, under Section 376 G, 506 IPC and 25, 54 & 59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Model Town, Panipat, District Panipat. This is the 2nd petition moved on his behalf.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the witnesses have been examined but the case is fixed for awaiting the DNA report and the prosecutrix has not supported the trial. He refers to the statement placed on record.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioner was a proclaimed offender and had been arrested after four year and two co-accused were convicted and sentences to 10 years of imprisonment and FIR under Section 174-A IPC was registered against the petitioner.

The petitioner is a proclaimed offender. He could be arrested only after four years. The petitioner has misused the concession, therefore, is not entitled to bail.

The petition for regular bail is dismissed.

22.07.2016
kv

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**