

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.23490 of 2016
Date of Decision : 14.07.2016**

Pushpa Devi and another

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Deepak Jindal, Advocate and
Mr. Sunil K. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has sought direction to the official respondent Nos.1 to 4 to register an FIR against the respondents No.5 to 9. The allegations against them are that they duped the petitioners by not paying their share of lease money and also molest the petitioner No.1 and also attacked the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in this connection the petitioners have also moved a representation dated 20.06.2016 (Annexure P-1) and at this stage they would be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.2 to look into the matter and take appropriate action on their representation within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. The respondent No.2-the SSP, Kaithal is directed to look into the matter and in case it is found that any action is required, to take whatever action he deems necessary as per law.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 14, 2016
ashish/pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE