

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23483 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 17.08.2016

Ritu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sanjay Vashisht, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Satvir Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') seeking regular bail in case FIR No.0450 dated 22.08.2015, registered under Sections 302 and 201 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC') read with Section 34 IPC, at Police Station Sadar, Dadri, District Bhiwani.

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that star witnesses, namely, Sunil and Ram Chander have not supported the case of the prosecution. The co-accused, Manjeet has been admitted to bail.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits that all the PWs have been examined.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the trial is at the fag end, therefore,

this Court is not inclined to grant the bail to the petitioner at this stage. The trial Court at an appropriate stage will reflect upon the statements of the prosecution witnesses.

Dismissed.

However, considering the fact that the co-accused has been admitted to bail, the trial Court is directed to expedite the trial expeditiously, preferably within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

17.08.2016

ashok

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No