

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23428 of 2016

Date of decision : 14.07.2016

Manoj Kumar and anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.
Petitioners in person.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioner No.1 is major, whereas the age of petitioner No.2 is 17 years and 8 months. They have solemnized marriage on 12.05.2016. After the marriage they have been residing together as husband and wife and consummated during the subsistence of the marriage. He cites '**Neelam Rani and anr. Vs. State of Haryana and ors.**', 2011(1) RCR (Civil) 636, to contends that the petitioner No.2 has reached the age of discretion. Their marriage is opposed by respondent Nos.4 & 5, who are the family members of petitioner No.2.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali, to consider and decide the representation dated 01.07.2016 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and provide necessary protection to them, if he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened at the hands of respondent Nos.4 & 5, in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

14.07.2016

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE