

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.23399 of 2016
Date of Decision : 14.07.2016**

Paras Ram and others

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Dinesh Trehan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has sought direction to the official respondent Nos.1 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and issue prior notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. relating to the complaint moved by respondent No.5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in this connection the petitioners have also moved a representation dated 07.07.2016 (Annexure P-4) and at this stage they would be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.2 to look into the matter and take appropriate action on their representation within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. The respondent No.2-the SSP, Pathankot is directed to look into the matter and in case it is found that any action is required, to take whatever action he deems necessary as per law.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 14, 2016
ashish/pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE