

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

CRL. MISC. No.M-23392 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 5th OCTOBER, 2016

Sumit Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Ms. Tanu Shree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.
 Mr. Ramesh Hooda, Advocate for the complainant.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.220 dated 21.05.2016 registered under Sections 406 & 420 IPC at Police Station Safidon, District Jind.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

The petitioner was granted interim bail by this court vide order dated 14.07.2016.

Learned counsel for the complainant appears and states that the petitioner has caused financial loss to the respondent-complainant and therefore, he should be compensated.

In my opinion, that is a matter of purely civil nature and the complainant will have to go before the appropriate forum if at all he is aggrieved. This plea of the complainant, regarding compensation, cannot be considered in the present proceedings.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Sudhir Kumar, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custody is not required.

In that view of the matter interim order dated 14.07.2016 is made absolute.

Disposed of accordingly.

5th OCTOBER, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.