

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23374-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 20.09.2016

Rahisa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana,  
assisted by HC Jitender Kumar.

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.200 dated 08.04.2015, registered under Section 135 of the Electricity Act, registered at Police Station I&P Gurgaon, Distt. Gurgaon.

Heard.

On 01.08.2016, the following order was passed:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner intends to pay the entire amount. However, she does not have necessary resources to pay it in one go. Therefore, she prays that she be allowed to pay the amount in easy installments. To show her bonafide, the petitioner undertakes to tender half of the amount within a week from today.*

*Post again on 20.09.2016.*

*Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner*

ATUL SETHI  
20.09.2016  
I attest to the accuracy and  
authenticity of this document  
Chandigarh

*by the Arresting Officer, she shall be released on interim*

*bail subject to the following conditions:-*

- 1. That she shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That she shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That she shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

The petitioner has deposited half of the amount. She further undertakes to pay the remaining amount along with penalty, if any, without four months from today in easy monthly instalments, to be paid on or before the seventh day of every month. The first instalment is to be paid in the month of October, 2016.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, admits the factums of deposit made by the petitioner. He further states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and she is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated , is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

However, in case, there is any breach of undertaking, the present petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed, without further notice.

**20.09.2016**  
*atulsethi*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :      Yes      No

Whether Reportable :      Yes      No

ATUL SETHI  
2016.09.20 18:15  
I attest to the accuracy and  
authenticity of this document  
Chandigarh

