

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-23360-2016

Date of decision: July 14, 2016.

Balraj Singh and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Dinesh Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Gazi Mohd. Umair, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Shri Rimple Saini, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Notice of motion to the respondents.

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties. There is a motion in this petition for recording compromise in FIR No.88 dated 12.8.2015, registered under Section 324/323 and 34 at Police Station Dhariwal, District Gurdaspur against the petitioners. Respondent No.2 – complainant is represented by Counsel, Shri Rimple Saini, Advocate. Annexure P-2 is the affidavit filed in this petition filed by the complainant.

Indeed, the offence is serious inasmuch as fire arm was used by the accused persons. But then, since the accused persons have arrived at a settlement/compromise, present petition is allowed and the FIR in question,

together with all consequential proceedings, in terms of compromise, stands quashed.

July 14, 2016.
kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge