

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-22501 of 2014

Date of Decision: February 16, 2016

Sher Mohd.

.... Petitioner

vs.

Deshraj and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunil Panwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Karan Pathak, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. Ashok Tyagi, Advocate for respondent Nos.5 and 6.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, DAG, Haryana.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing the order dated 18.04.2014 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurgaon, whereby application filed under Section 216 Cr.P.C. by the petitioner-complainant for amendment of the charges so as to add Section 217 and 120-B IPC was dismissed. Also the impugned is the order dated 07.06.2014 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Gurgaon, dismissing the revision of the petitioner filed against the order of learned Magistrate.

Heard.

The petitioner claims that he was booked and beaten up at the instance of the Superintendent of Police. Therefore, it is prayed that charges for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 217 and 120-B IPC also be added.

The allegations show that merely the offences under section 323, 452, 342, 448, 506 read with Section 34 IPC have been committed. Both the courts below have applied their mind to the facts of the case. The present petition is nothing but the revision against the revision, which is not maintainable.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

February 16, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE