

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-23300-2016

Date of decision: July 14, 2016.

Kulvir Singh and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Amit Kumar Walia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Gazi Mohd. Umair, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Shri Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Notice of motion to the respondents.

Heard Learned Counsel for the rival parties in FIR No.189 dated 30.10.2015, registered under Section 452, 323, 506, 148, 149 at Police Station Chhajli, District Sangrur against the petitioners. Counsel for the complainant, Shri Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate is present, and in response to the submission made by learned Counsel for the petitioners for compromise, states that indeed compromise has taken place and has been signed by both the parties.

I have perused the compromise deed Annexure P-3 and gone

through the paragraph 2 thereof. I am satisfied, looking to the age of the petitioners, that they should be given a chance to reform themselves. In that view of the matter compounding/compromise will have to be allowed.

In the result, by way of compromise, present petition is allowed and the FIR in question, together with all consequential proceedings, in terms of compromise, stands quashed.

July 14, 2016.
kadyan

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge